

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/038,723 | 01 | 1/02/2002 | Bjarne Roenfeldt Nielsen | 5636.210-US | 6089 |
| 25908 | 7590 | 10/09/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | TH AMERICA, IN | RAO, MANJUNATH N | | |
| 500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK, NY 10110 | | | | 1652 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/038,723 | NIELSEN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. | 1652 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 L | <u> December 2002</u> . | | | | | | |
| , | s action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | nce except for formal matters, p Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, | rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>141-252</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 141-252 are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | . (1) | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/038,723

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 141-252 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising variants of amino acid sequence with SEQ ID NO:2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (i.e. a single variant with a single amino acid position modification), even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manjunath N. Rao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-306-5681. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy can be reached on 703-308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0196.

MANUNATH FIAC PATTENT EXAMINER

Manjunath N. Rao October 8, 2003